Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/791,199	HALL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	George L. Walton	3753
All Participants:	Status of Application: 1st Action Non-Final	
(1) Mr. Bryan J. Lempia - attorney.	(3)	
(2) Mr. George L. Walton - examiner.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 November 2004	Time: <u>11:30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:  All		
Claims discussed: 1, 11 and 18		
Prior art documents discussed: Hart, Strong, Gausman et al and Kryger.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a write record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
	,	
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In view of the above prior art, changes were proposed and made to the above claims to more clearly define the claimed invention and prevail over the prior art. Such changes properly place this application in condition for allowance. Details of the changes can be seen in the accompanying "Examiner's Amendment".